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XIV.-On the Buts uf the Family Megadermatidæ. By Knud Andersen and R. U. Wrolghtor.

Trie following notes are based on an examination of the material in the British Mnseum of Natural Itistory.

Fey to the Genera.
d. Frontal shield of skull wider in front that belind. Cu-p? of $m^{\prime *}$ much reduced in size or almost entirely disappeared. Anturo-interual basal cusp of upper canines always distinct.
a. $\nu^{2} \dagger$ present. Prenasal noteh of sliull rounded posterionly: (Oriental and Malayan.)
a'. Prenasal notch of skull shallowier, extunding only to level of front of $m^{1}$. Trarus louger, more slender. (ieneral size smaller ......

1. Megaderma.

[^0]$b^{\prime}$. Prenasal notch of slinll deeper, extending to level of front of $m^{2}$. Trugus shorter, broader. General size larger

II. Eucheira.

b. $p^{2}$ absent. Prenasal notch of skull pointed pasteriorly. Trngus very short and broad. (ieneral size very farge. (Australian.)
III. Macroderma.
B. Frontal shield of skill not wider in front than be-
hind. Cusp 2 of $m^{2}$ not or scarcely reduced in size. $r^{2}$ absent. (Ethiopian.)
a. Posterior pair of angles of frontal shield produced to form postorbital processes; upper surface of shield comparatively flat. $m^{\prime}$ quite normal. Antero-internal basal cusp of upper canines present. Nose-leaf very large. Tragus long, narrow. Pollex small
IV. Lavia.
b. Pusterior pair of angles of frontal shield not produced to form postorbital processes; upper surface of shield strongly concare. Cusp 3 of $m^{\prime}$ moved backward. Antero-internal basal cusp of upper canines absent. Nose-leaf small. Tragus short, broad. Pollex larger. .

V. Cardioderma.

## I. Megaderma, Geoff.

1810. Megaderma, Geoffioy, Ann. Mus. d'Hist. Nat. xy. p. 187.

Diagnosis.-See "Key" above, p. 129.
skull.-Frontal slield wider in front than behind, its anterior pair of angles situated at the bases of the maxillary processes of the zygomatic arches; the ridges joining them with the posterior pair of angles forming quite normal supraorbital ridges; looked at from above, the anterior pair of angles are obscured by anteorbital swellings, which, separated by a distinct depression, occupy the whole anterior part of the frontal shield. Prenasal noteh shallower, measured from the cingulum of canine equal to about $\frac{1}{6}$ of total length of skull.

I'eth.-A small $p^{2}$ present. Cusp 3 of $m^{2}$ is moved backward, while cusp 2 is moved inward and reduced in height. In the typical molar of an insectivorous bat the anterior and posterior triangles are practically of the same size ; in Megaderma the posterior triangle (formed by cusps 2, 3, 5) is distinctly larger than the anterior (formed by cusps $1,2,4$ ), though not to the same extent as in Eucheira. Anterointernal basal cusp of upper canines quite distinct, but not so strongly developed as in Eucheira.

Nose-leaf.-Posterior leaf in shape a broad ellipse, rather longer than the horseshoe, with a median longitudinal fold which, seen from the front, appears as a longitudinal ridge; at its base this ridge expands into a broad, heart-shaped, median leaf, which overlaps and conceals the lateral margins of the horseshoe.

Tragus. - 13 oth lobes proportionally long and narrow, ns comprated with those of E'ucheiru.
liange.-From the Indian Peninsula and Ceylon eastward as far as the I'hilippines, Celebes, and 'Ternate.

Species.-Three, viz.: 11. spasma, If. carimato, N. natunte.

Nomencluture.-The name Megaderma was proposed by Geoffroy in 1810 (l.s.c.). The anthor describes the four species incluled by him in Meynderma in the following order:-M. lyra, M. frons, M. bifolium, M. spasma. But M. spasma is mentioned in the description of the genus in advance of all other species (" je me suis cru fondé à considérer le $V$. spusma et ses congénères comme formant un groupe isolé," p. 190), and in a short summary (p. 197) which professes to give a view of the species in their proper order ("dans l'ordre de leurs rapports") Geoffroy heads the li-t with M. trifolium, i. e. the western race of M. spasma. In accordance with the generally accepted view we therefore regard I. spasma as the type of the genus.

## Key to the Forms.

A. Size smaller: skull $2.5-27 \mathrm{~mm}$.
a. Ears shorter: from crown $27.0-30 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~mm}$. . . $a^{\prime}$. Lower leg averaging shorter: $23-29.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. $b^{\prime}$. Lower leg a reraging longer: : 29.0. -33.5 mm .

1. M. spasma.
1 п. M. s. spasma.
1b. M. s. trifolium.
b. Ears longer: from crown $31 \cdot 4-35 \mathrm{~mm} . . . .$. .
2. M. carimata.
B. Size larger: skull 23.5 mm . .................. .. .3. M. natunc.

## 1. Megaderma spasma, L.

Diagnosis.-Size in every respect smaller than in M. natunce; ears shorter than in M. carimata.

Details.-Distinguishable at a glance from M. natunce by the conspicuonsly smaller size, especially of the skull and teeth. Total length of skull to front of canines $2 \bar{j}-27 \mathrm{~mm}$., in M. natunce 28.5 mm . length of upper tooth-row $\left(c-\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ $9.5-10.5 \mathrm{~mm}$., in $M$. natunce $11 . \pm \mathrm{mm}$.; forearin $53-62 \mathrm{~mm}$., in M. natunce 63 mm .* From N. carimater it differs only by its somewhat shorter ears.

Range. - The same as that of the genus.
Ruces.-'I'wo, viz.: M. spasma spasma, M. spasma trifolium.

[^1]
## 1 a．Megaderma spasma spasma，L．

1；34．Glis rolans Termatum，Seba，Thesaur．p．90，pl．lvi．fig． 1.
フラース，Vespertilio spasma，Limnæus，Syst．Nat．ed．x．p． 32.
1810．Megaderma sjasma，L．；Geoffroy，Ann．Mus．d＇Hist．Nat．xv． p． $10 \%$ ．
1813．Meqaderma phitiplinensis，Wraterhouse，I＇．Z．S．p． 69.
Diagnosis．－Lower leg averaging shorter： $23-29 \cdot 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ．
Specimens examined．－ 6 （ 2 skins）and 6 skulls，from Gelebes（ 3 ），Philippines（3）．

Range．－＂Ternate，＂Celebes，Philippines．
Nomenclature．－Linnés Vespertilio spasma was based on Seba＇s＂Cilis volans Ternatanus．＂Having had no speci－ mens from＇Ternate for examination，we use the name spasma for the race here under consideration，the habitat of which is the nearest to Ternate．Waterhouse described M．phitippin－ ensis from specimens collected by Cuming，some of which are now in the British Muscum Collection．＇lhey are in every respect indistinguishable from Celebes individuals．

## 11．Megaderma spasma trifolium，Geoff．

1810．Megaderma trifolium，Geoffroy，Ann．Mus．d＇Hist．Nat．xr． p． 193.
18．51．Megaterma —，Horsfield，Cat．Mamm．Mus．E．I．Co．p． 32. 1863．Megaderma Horsfieldi，Blyth，Cat．Mamm．Mus．As．Soc．Beng． p．2？．
Diagnosis．－Lower leg averaging longer： $29 \cdot 5-33 \cdot 5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ．
letails．－M．s．trifolium can only be distinguished from M．s．spasma by its rather longer lower leg．The skull，teeth， and external characters are otherwise the same in the two races．

Specimens eramined．－29（16 skins）and 29 skulls，from Ceylon（3），W．India（4），Siam and Cochin China（8）， Penang（2），Simgapore（3），Sumatra（2），Java（6），Borneo（1）．

Geotfroy＇s M．trefolium．－＇I＇ye locality：Java．Geoffroy compared his Javan bat with Seba＇s plate of Glis volans Ternatanus（Linnés V．spasma），and found it to differ in the shapes of the posterior leaf and the tragns；he therefore described it as a new species，M．trifolium．We have examined specimens from Java and can find no validity in these alleged characters．The name trifoloum is the earliest available for the form here under consideration．

Blyth＇s M．Horsfieldi－－In 1851（l．s．c．）Horsfield described a Megaderma＂from Continental India，contribnted by E．Blyth，Esq．，on belalf of the Asiatic Society of Bengal＂； no name was proposed by Horsfield；it was characterized by
the absence of "transverse lines" from the membrane along the sides of the abdomen, and the presence of "regularly parallel ridges at the base of the ear." The British Museum possesses a specimen (skin, no. 60. 5. 4. 13), received from the India Honse Collection, which is in all probability the actual individual referred to by Horsfied; the peculiarities emphasized by him are partly due to bad preparation of tho specimen and partly are quite individual. In 1S6:3 (l. s. c.) Blyth proposed the name Ilorsfieldi for the species deseribed but left umamed by Horsfield. When the late Dr. J. Anderson (Cat. Mamm. Ind. Mus. p. 21, 1881) registered as "types" of M. Horsfieldi two specimens obtained by Mr. Theobald in Tenasserim he was undoubtedly in error. 13lyth's M. Horsfieldi was, as already pointed out, based on Horsfield's " Megaderma _ " from Continental India.

## 2. Megaderma carimata, Miller.

1906. Megaderma carimala, Gerrit S. Miller, Jun., Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus. х.xxi. ио. 1481, p. 6 .
The species is known to us only from the published account. I'ype locality: Karimata Island. According to Miller, it differs from Mo. spasma only in the smaller size and larger ears. 'The former character must be dropped: Niller gives as length of the forearm (five males and four females) $53 \cdot 6$ $5 \mathrm{~s} \cdot 6 \mathrm{~mm}$, as against $57-61 \mathrm{~mm}$. in N. spasma (seven females, Malay Peninsula, i. e. M. s. erifolium of the present paper) : but, tirst, Miller has compared his M. carimatce with females only of M. spasma, and females of this species average larger than males; second, we find in twenty-mine individuals of II. s. trifolium the forearm varying between 53 and 62 mm . There remains the alleged greater length of the cars in M. carimate: measured from the crown " $31 \cdot 4-35 \mathrm{~mm}$." (Miller) against "27-29 mm." (Niller) in M. spasma; we find that similar measurements for M. s. trifolium range from $2 \bar{\imath}-30 \mathrm{~mm}$. (Malay specimens $25-29 \mathrm{~mm}$.).

## 3. Megaderma natunce, sp. 11.

Diagnosis.-In every respect larger than M. spasma.
Detuils.-The differences between M. natuna and M. spasma have been pointed out above under the latter specios (p. 131).

Type.- ${ }^{0}$ ad. (in alc.). Bungaran Island, N. Natunas. Collected by A. Everett, Esq. British Museum no. 94. 9. 28. 30.
liange.-As yet known from tho type specimen only.

## II. Elcheira, Hodga.

1847. Eucheira, Hoderson, J. A. S. B. xvi. p. 891, footnote (September 1847).

18:こ. Inyroderma. Peters, MP. Akad. Berl. p. $1 \Omega 5$ (18th March, 1872).
Diugnosis.-See "Ker," above, p. 130.
skill.-Frontal shicld as in Meqaderma. Prenasal motch deeper, measured from the cingulum of canme about $\frac{1}{5}$ of total length of skull.

Teeth.- A small $p^{2}$ present. Cusp 3 of $m^{1}$ moved considecably backward; the posterior triangle (formed by cusps $2,3,5$ ) at least double the size of the anterior (formed by cusps 1, 2, 4). Cusp 2 moved inward and tending to disappear, being repuresented only by a small tubercle, much below the level of the other cusps. Antero-internal basal cusp of upper canine very strongly developer, more so than in Megaderma.

Nose-leaf.-Posterior leaf a rectangle, with slightly convex sides, twice as long as the median leat; median longitudinal ridge (fold) as in Megaderma, but its junction with median leat forming an obtuse angle on each side, strongly connasting with the sharply acute angles formed in Megaderma.

Range.-Indian Peniusula; S. China; there seems as yet to be wo record of this genus from Burma.

Species.-Two, viz.: E. lyra, E. simensis.
Hodgson's Eucheira.-T'Ype species, E. schistacea, Hodgs., $=$ Megaderma lyra, Geoff. The generic name Eucheira was proposed by Ilodgson because the "phalangeal system [of E. schistacea] is apparently irreconcilable with Cuvier's general and Geoffroy's particular definitions" of the common structure of the wing in Chiroptera; but at the same time the description and figure of the wing of schistacea, as given by Hodgson himself, are those of a quite normal Megadermine wing, and his schistacra is undoubtedly mothing but the wellknown "Megaderma" lyra. Althongh, therefore, the whole basis on which Ilodeson fommed the genus Eucheira is a mistake, still the mame, as being the earlicst in date, will have to stand according to the current nomenclatural rules. 'The name Eucheira has apparently been overlooked by succeeding writers and is not mentioned in Palmer's 'Index Genesum Mammalium.'

Peters' Lyroderma.-'Type species, Megaderma lyra, Geoff. The characters given by Peters for the "subgenus" Lyroderma are the shape of the nose-leaf, the Hatness of the frontal shield, and the absence of postorbital processes. 'The name Lyroderma is antedated by Hodgson's Lucheira.

## Key to the Forms.

| A. Skull smaller: 27.8-29 mm.; prenasal notch posteriorly wider flattemed |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| a. On the average larger: forearin (i).-4i | 1 a. E. l. lyra. |
| b. On the average smaller: forearm $6: 3-18 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 1b. E. l. caurina. |
| B. Skull larere: 29.3 - $\%$ 2 mm. prenasal notch |  |
| narrower, rounded posteriorly | 2. E. sinensis. |

## 1. Eucheira lyra, Geoff.

Chirf characters.-Skill and tecth markedly smaller than in E.: sinensis. 'Total length of skull $27 \cdot 3-29 \mathrm{~mm}$., in E. sinensis $29: 3-3.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. ; иррен tooth-row $10 \cdot 8-11.5 \mathrm{~mm}$., in $E$. sinensis $11 \cdot \tilde{\delta}-12 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~mm}$. Prouasal notch proportionally rather shorter, wider behind, posterior margin flattened.

Range.-Indian Peninsula.
Races.-Two: E. lyra lyra and E. Tyra caurina.

## 1 a. Eucheira lyra lyra, Geoff.

1810. Megaderma lyra, Geoffroy, Ann. Mus. d'Hist. Nat. xv. p. 190.
1811. V'espertilio (Meyaderma) carnatica, Elliot, Madr. Journ, vol. x. p. 96.
1812. Megaderma spectrun, Wagner, in Hüqel's Kaschmir, iv. p. 569. 1.47. F. schistacea, IIodgsou, J. A. S. B. xri. p. 859.

Churacters.-The present race can only be distinguished from E. l. caurina by average characters: skull $2 \mathrm{~J}-2!\cdot \cdot \mathrm{m} \mathrm{mm}$., upper tooth-row $11 \cdot 1-11 \cdot 5$, forearm $65-69$, against $27 \cdot 8-23 \cdot 3$, 10.S-11, 6:3-4. respectively in E. l. caurina.
specimens examined.-1s ( 1.6 skins) and 20 skulls, viz.: "Madras" (11), S"cunderabad (1), Bengal (6).

Range.-Indian P'eninsula, east of $75^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$.
Gienfioy's Meyaderma lyra.-Type locality: one of the Dutch factorics in India, probably East Cuast of Madras. Geoffroy separated lyra as a distinct species on account of the shape and size of the nos"-leaf ("Fenille rectangulaire, la follicule de moitić plus petite," l. s. c.).

Elliot's Megaderma carnatica.-Type locality: Southern Maratha Country. Eilliot relied on the presence of only three teeth in the upper row behind the canine to justify the separation of carnatica, but he seems himself to have been in doubt as to the validity of the species, masmuch as he ad lid "M. lyra?" The British Muscum has several specimens collected by Elliot, all of them mnquestionably ly"a.

Hodgsen's Eucheira schistacea.-Type locality: Siligori, N.E. Bengal. Hodgson left Nepal for good in 1844, and the context (l.s.c.) shows that he had never seen any species
of the family Megadermatida until he obtained the examples on which he based schistacea: these specimens, when later on aequired by the British Mnseum, were wrongly labelled "Nepal" (sec Scully, J. A. S. B. 1vi. pt. ii. no. 3, p. 234, 1587). Hodgson's specimens, as well as his published figure of the bat, show that schistacea is nothing but E. lyra lyra.

I'agner's Megaderma spectrum.-Type locality: Kashmir. Apparently basch on a single specimen (Baron Hiigel's collections). According to Wagner, M. spectrom has only four teeth belind the upper canine (i. e. one premolar only) : but bearing in mind that ! $?^{2}$ is present in all known Oriental representatives of the family Megadermatidæ (this small tooth is wanting only in the Ethiopian genera Lavia and Cardioderma and the Australian A/(coroderma); further, that Wagnes's description of M. spectrum is based throughout on a comparison with M. frons (Lavia frons), not with E. lyra, with which last he seems to have been macquainted ; again, that $\nu^{2}$, when present in bats of this family, is extremely small, hidden on the internal side of the tooth-row, and therefore very easily overlooked when not searched for ; and, finally, that Vagner's figure of IV spectrom differs in no $^{\text {n }}$ appreciable respect from an ordinary $E$. lyra-thero can be no reasonable doubt that Wagner's statement as to the number of teeth was wrong; if so, the whole basis of the supposed new species breaks down.

> 1b. Eucheira lyra caurina, subsp. n.

Characters.-See above, muder E. l. lyra (p. 135).
Specimens examined.- 8 skins and skulls, all from the West Coast of India.

Type. - o ad. skin. Surat District, W. India. Collected by R. C. Wroughton, Esq. B.M. no. 98. 4. 2. 2.
liange.-Indıa, west of $77^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$.

## 2. Eucheira sinensis, $\mathrm{sp} . \mathrm{n}$.

Characters.-Size somewhat larger than E. Tyra; see comparative measurements under that species above (p. 135). Prenasal noteh proportionally rather longer, narrowed behind, posterior margin rounded.

Specimens examined.-2 skins and skulls, viz. Swatow (1), Amoy (1).

Type.-Adult (unsexed), skin and skull. Amoy, S. China. 'Tomes Collection. B.M. no. 7. 1. 1. 339.

Range.-S. China.

## III. Macronerma, Miller.

1906. Macroderma, Gerrit s'. Miller, Jun., Proc. Miul. suc. Wash, aix. p. 84 (thh June, 1906).

Diagnosis.—See "Key," p. 130.
Skiull.-Prontal shield wider in front than behind ; its anterior angles raised into the same plane as the posterior (in the two preceding genera the anterior angles are depressed to the bases of the masillary processes of the zygomatic arches) ; anteorbital swellings obliterated (distinct in Megaderma and Eucheira) ; as a consequence of these modifications the whole area of the pentagonal frontal shich flat and all its angles sharply and strongly defined. Premasal noteh still longer than in Eucheira (measured from cingulum of canines about $\frac{1}{4}$ of total length of skull); posteriorly pointed, not rounded or flattened as in Megaderma and Eucheira.

Teeth.- $\mu^{2}$ absent. Cusp 3 of $m^{1}$ moved backward as in Eucheira; cusp 2 moved inward to a line between cusps 1 and 5, almost obliterated ; cusp 4 much reduced, makedly below the level of the remaining cusps. Antero-internal basal cusp of upper canines strongly developed.

Nose-leaf.-l'osterior leaf ovoid as in Negaderma, double the length of the median leaf as in Eucheira; median leaf shaped as in Eucheira. Front margin of horseshoe plicate, suggesting a rudimentary form of the much more complicated structure in Lavia.

Trayus.-Both lobes shoat and broad, even more so than in Eucheira.

Range-Central Queensland.
Sjecies.-One, viz. M. gigas.
Nomencluture.-The genus Macrollerma was established by Miller (l.s. c.) for Megaderma gigas and characterized by the absence of $z^{2}$, the shape of the frontal shield, and the much greater development of the cartilaginous premaxillaries.

## Macroderma gigas, Dobs.

1860. Megaderma gigas, Dobson, I'. Z. S. p. 461, pl. xlvi.

I liagnosis.-Forearm 10:3-104 mm.
suecimens exumined.-2 ( 1 skin) and 2 skulls, from Central Queen=land.

Range.-As yet known only from Central Qucensland.

## IV. Lavia, Gray.

1838. Lariu, Gray, Mag. Zool. Bot. ii. p. 490.

Jiagnosis.-See "Key," P. 130.
Skull.-Frontal shied parallel-sided, but appearing wider behind, owing to development of the posterior pair of angles into long processes; otherwise as in Mucroderma. Prenasal notch about the same proportional length as in Eucheirn, showing a tendency to become pointed behind in some individmals (enmpare Mucroderma).

Teeth.- $\mu^{2}$ absent. All five cusps of $m^{2}$ equally developed and placed in a regular W. Antero-internal basal cusp of upper canine about as in Megaderma.

Nose-leaf.-Posterior leaf in shape a long triangle, with slightly convex sides and truncated apex, three times as long as the median leaf; median longitudinal fold gradually widening anteriorly, the junction with the median leaf forming no appreciable angle on each side; median leaf small, with a lobe on each side anteriorly which reaches to the margin of the horseshoe and envers the nostrils; horseshoe with a notch on each side in front, between which the front margin of the horseshoe is produced into a free lobe; the sides of this lobe folded together downward, and then the whole turned backward to lie on the face of the median leaf.

I'ragus.-External lobe very long.
Range.-IV. Coast of Africa, from Cape Verd to the mouth of the Niger ; Upper Nile Valley; Uganda; British and German East Africa.

Species.-One, viz, Lavia frons.
Nomenclature.-'I'ype species of the gems, Megaderma frons, Geoff. Gray proposed the generic name Lavia on account of the shape of the nose-leaf and frontal shield and the absence of $p^{2}$.

## 1. Lavia frons, Gcoff.

Characters and Pange.-Those of the genus.
Races.-'Iwo, viz. Lavia frons frons and Lavia frons affinis.

$$
1 \text { a. Lavia frons frons, Geoff. }
$$

1759. La Feuille, Daubenton, Mém. Acad. Sci. Paris, p. 388.
1760. ? V'espertilio megalotis, Bechstein, in Pennant's Uebersicht der rierfüss. Thiere, p. 62.2 .
1761. Megarlerma frons, Geoffroy, Ann. Mus. d'Hist. Nat. xp. p. 192.
1762. Luriar rex, Gerrit S. Miller, Jun., I'roc. Biol. Soc. Wash. xriii. p. 227 ( 5 th December, 190\%).

Diagnosis.- A verage size larger.
Details.-Call only be diseriminated from I. f. affuis by average characters: forearm $5(6-62 \mathrm{~mm}$, skill $21 \cdot 5-26$, upper touth-row $9-11$, as arainst $5:-58 \mathrm{~mm}$., $23 \cdot 5-21,8 \cdot 7-9$ respectively in L. f. affinis.

Specimens errmined.-33 (21 skins) and 23 skulls, viz. Gambia (i), Kımmasi (1), N. Nigeria (2), Kordofan (4), Ruwenzori (1), Uganda (6), British East Africa (10).
limuge--'lue same as that of the genus (above, p. 138), with the exeeption of Bahreel-Chazal.

Bechstein's V. megalotix.-Under the name V. megulutis Bechstein describes a bat taken by Levaillant in Great Namaqualand, which, from the absence of the tail and the presence of nose-leaf and tragns, was clearly a member of the family Megadermatide. But no species has in recent times been recorded from $\Lambda$ frica sonth of $15^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$., and the measurements quotel by Beehtein are so strange (rendered from (remman inches into millimetres: nose-leaf 35 mm . body 78 mm . ; ear 70 (!) mm.; expanse 210 mm .) that it appears safer to leave Levaillant's bat unidentified.

Ciceiffroy's Megaderma frons.-T'ype locality: Senegal. Geoffioy's deseription is based, not on actual specimens, but on Daubenton's description of "La Fenille" (/. s. c.), which is undoubtedly the species here under consideration, being a bat with an ovate nose-leaf, "posés verticalement, qui ressemble à une fenille," "huit lignes de longueur sur six de largeur," with the ears "prè; de deux fois anssi grandes que la membrane" [i. e. the nose-leaf], and united "par la moitié de la longnenr de leur bord interne," with a long, narrow, pointed trarns, with no tail, with the fur "d'une belle coulenr cendrée, avec quelque teinte de jaunâtre," with ? 2 incisors, $\frac{4}{5}$ cheek-tectl, and inhabiting Senegal.

Miller's Lavia rex.-'Type locality: 'Tavetn, German East Africa. Niller relies for the discrimination of L. reer on its greater extemal dimensions, longer mandible, and heavier teeth: "forearm 60 mm.," "mandible $17 \cdot 8$," "maxillary toothrow $9 \cdot 2$," instead of " 56 mm .," " $15 \cdot 2$ " mm., and " $8 \cdot 2$ mm." respectively in $L$. frons. These measurements, as well as all the others given by Miller, place it beyond donbt that his L. $r$ e $x$ is L. frons frons and that the reason for his describing it ass new was that he compared it, not with the true $L$. frons frons, but with the smaller race describod in this paper as L. frons affinis.

## 1b. Latria frons affinis, subsp. n.

7) ingnosis.- Arerage size smaller.

Details.-Comparative measurements are given above miler L. frons firons (p. 139).

Type-o ail. skin. Kaka, Whito Nile. Collected by 11. M. Hawker, Esq. B.M. no. 1. 8. S. 3.

Specimens cataminet.-S ( 7 skins) and 6 sknlls, viz. White Nile (5), Lake No (1), Lado (1), Wadelai (1).

Thic British Museum possesses a somewhat damaged skull without skin from Cape Coast Castle (Gold Coast) which seems to belong to this race, and Miller's specimen of "L. frons" referred to abore muder L. f. froms (p. 139) appears also to belong to the present race; so it is possible that the range of affinis extends westward to the const.

## V. Cardioderma, Peters.

1873. Cardioderma, Peters, MIB. Akad. Berlin, p. 488 (23rd June, 1873).

Jiagnosis.-See "Key," p. 130.
Skull.-Frontal shield parallel-sided; the centre longitudiually depressed, so as to form a "trough"; all the angles sharply defined, but not produced into processes as in Lavia. Prenasal notch about as long as in Negaderma ( $\frac{1}{6}$ total length of skull), flatly rounded posteriorly.

Teeth.- $\nu^{2}$ absent. Cusp 3 of $m^{1}$ about as in Megaderma. Antero-internal basal cusp of upper canine absent.

Nose-leaf.-As in Megaderma, but a distinct trace of antero-lateral lobes of the median leaf, as in Lavia; in Megaderma, Eucheira, and Macroderma these lobes are merely indicated by faint depressions in the margin of the median leaf.

Tragus.-Both lobes very short; the inner flatly rounded at top and excavate at the base in front, so that it seems directed inward rather than upward.
liange.-East Africa : Zanzibar, Mombasa, Somali.
Species.-One, C. cor.
Nomenclature.-T'ype species of the genus, Megaderma cor. In 1872 Peters described Megaderma cor, and in the following year proposed for this species the subgeneric name Cardioderma without any further characterization.

## Curdioderma cor, Ptrs.

18i.. Meyaderma cor, l'eters, MB. Akad. Berl. (18th March, 1872) p. 194.

S'pecimens examined.-10 (3 skins) and 8 skulls, viz. Zanzibar ( 2 ), Momba*a ( 2 ), Somali ( 6 ).

Peters' Megaderma cor.- ''ype locahty : Abyssinia. Peters based his diagnosis of Megaderma cor on the shape and size of the mose-leat and tragus.

## Wing-structure.

The four fumilies Nyeteridæ, Megadermatidx, Hipposiderida, and Rhinolophidæ aro rather closely inter-related; they have probably had a common origin. When trying to form an idea of the stage of development at which the wingstrueture of the Megadermatide has arrived, it is therefore fair to compare them with such species of the other families as have, in this respect, remained on a low level, f. i. Hipposiderus diadema.

In $1 /$. diadema the third metacarpal is the longest, the fifth the shortest, the indices of the third, fourth, and fifth metacarpals being, respectively, 716,696 , and 640 ; that this is a primitive condition needs hardly any comment (a similar mutual Iength of the metacarpals is fomd in many primitive fruit-bats). In the Negadermatide the third metacarpal is the shortest, the fifth the longest, the indices being 727,781 , and 845 ; the third metacarpal, it will be noticed, has retained practically the same length ( $7 \pm 7$ ) in proportion to the forearm as in II. diadema ( 716 ), whereas the fourth and, still more, the fitth have been very considerably lengthened.

In 11. diadema the first phalanx of the third digit (index: 329 ) is somewhat less than half the length of the third metacarpal (716) ; in the Megadermatide it is decidedly lengthened (index: 404), being always more than one half of the metacarpal $(7: 27)$. The first phalanx of the fourth digit has retained the ame length in proportion to the forearm as in II. diademat (242 against 237) ; the first phalanx of the fifth digit is slightly lengthened ( 280 against 247 ).

In II. diadema the second phalanx of the third digit (327) is only equal in length to the first phalanx (329); in the Megadermatide it is enormonsly lengthened (693), being almost ${ }_{4}^{7}$ of the first phatanx (404). In II. cliadema the distal phatanges of the fourth and fitth digits are considerably shorter than the proximal phatanges ; in the Megadermatide the second phalanx of the fourth digit is at least equal to

(Macroderma, Lavia), but often much longer than (Megaderma, Eucheira, Cardioderma), the first phalanx; the second phalanx of the fifth digit is in all genera of Megadermatidx approximately equal to, or rather longer than, the
first phalanx, except in Mucroderma, in which it has remained rather short.

The total result of these modifieations is bast realizel be a comparison of the total index of the thicd, fourth, and fifth digits: in Megadermatilio 1821, 1303, and 14(1)t, as against 1372, 1106, and 1081 in II. diudema and allied species. The greatest increase ( 152 ) falls on the third digit, the next (323) on the fifth, the smallest (197) on the fourth; i.e. the area of the wing in the family Megadermatide is enormously increased in size, the wing being at the same time much more pointed (lengthening of third digit in proportion to fourth) and much broader (lengthening of fifth digit in proportion to fourth).

## General Remarks.

The five genera of Megadermatide are referable to two fundamental types. In the one, represented by Megaderma, Eucheira, and Macroderma, the frontal shield of the skull is but moderately developed, the median external cusp (cusp 2) of the upper $m^{1}$ is more or less on the point of disappearance, and, with the exception of the somewhat aberrant Macroderma, they have preserved the small anterior upper premolar $\left(p^{2}\right)$. In the other gromp, represented by Lavia and Carlioderma, the frontal shield is largely developed, giving the skull a quite peculiar aspect, the median external cusp of the upper $m^{1}$ is of normal (or almost normal) size and $\mu^{2}$ has completely disappeared. The former group is Oriental, Malayan, and Australian, the latter Ethiopian.

Megaderma and Eucheira, from the Malay Archipelago and S. Asia, are very elosely related, differing in no other important respects than the degree of modification of the cusps of the upper molars, the size of the prenasal notch, and the size and shape of the tragus. In having cusp 2 of $m^{1}$ still more reduced in size, cusp :̈s still more posterior in position, and the prenasal noteh still deeper, the strictly continental Eucheira is clearly on a higher level of development than the Malayan and continental Megaderma.
'The Australian Macroderma is undoubtedly an offshoot of the Megaderma-Eucheira branch; broadly speaking, it aceords with these latter genera in the general shape of the fromtal shield, the strong reduction of cusp 2 of $\mathrm{m}^{1}$, and the posterior position of ensp 3 of $\mathrm{m}^{2}$, but it has completely lost $r^{2}$, and the prenasal notch is unusually deep and different in shape.
'lhe two Ethiopian genera Luvia and Cardioderma, forming the second section of the family, are closely related inter se.


Lavict is the more primitive: the frontal shield is not so profoundly moditied as in Cordioderma, $m^{1}$ is quite rormal in structure. In Ciardionderma the frontal shiche attains its highest legree of modification, the pmiterior of the external cheps (ensi, 3) of $m^{1}$ is moved somewhat backwat, and the antero-internal basal ensp of the mpper canines, present in all other genera, has quite disappeared.

Summary. -selecting the most primitive of the cranial and dental characters preserved in the five living genera of Megadermatidar, we are able to draw up a rough sketeh of the skull and teeth of the unknown prototype of the family. It was a bat with the frontal shieh not very considerably different from that of Megaderma and Eucheire, with the five primary cusps of $m^{2}$ practically of equal siz", as in the typical molar of an insectivorous bat, and with a small $p^{2}$. From this type of bat originated, on the one side the Malayan and Oricntal Megadermu and Eucheira: frontal shield not largely modifiel, $p^{2}$ preserved, but cusp 2 of $m^{2}$ more or less reduced, cusp) 3 more or less moved backward; and the Anstralian Macroderma: cssentially as Megaderma and Eucheira, but pa lost ; on the other side the Ethiopian Lavia and Cardioderma: molar cusps almost normal, but frontal shield profoundly modified, and $\nu^{2}$ lost.
'The subjoined diagram gives a view of probable interrelations and phylogeny of the genera:-



[^0]:    * The thren cusps forming the tips of the W of a typical molar in insectivorous bats are furmen, in antero-posterior directinn, respectively $1,2.3$; the $t w o$ cusps forming the hase of the $W, 4$ and 5 ; the lingual "heel" of the upper molars, when single, 6 , when double, 6 and $i:$ :se Herluf Winge, "(1m l'attelyrenes Tandskfte, iser med Hensyu it Taphernes Former," in Vidensk. Medmel. Naturhist. Foren. Kibhon. Iese, pp. 15-69, pl. iii. (We prifer Minge's devignations to those proposed hy (b-burn, which, in our upinion, are based on an crronema idne oi the sicmation of the curps, and rive a mistaken interpretation of the cusps of the lower as compand with those of her upper molars.)
    $\dagger$ Thee small anterior upper premohar.

[^1]:    * We give in the letterpress a few principal dimensinn only: a detailed comparative table of measurements will be found at the end of the paper (p. 144).

